

VolumeDeform: Real-time Volumetric Non-rigid Reconstruction

Matthias Innmann¹, Michael Zollhöfer², Matthias Nießner³, Christian Theobalt², Marc Stamminger¹

¹University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, ²Max-Planck-Institute for Informatics, ³Stanford University

ABSTRACT



We present a novel approach for the reconstruction of **dynamic geometric shapes** using a single hand-held consumer-grade RGB-D sensor at real-time rates. Our method builds up the scene model **from scratch** during the scanning process, thus it does not require a pre-defined shape template to start with. Geometry and motion are parameterized in a unified manner by a **volumetric representation** that encodes a distance field of the surface geometry as well as the non-rigid space deformation.

The problem is tackled in **real-time** at the camera's capture rate using a data-parallel flipflop optimization strategy. Our results demonstrate robust tracking even for fast motion and scenes that lack geometric features.

METHOD OVERVIEW



First, a deformed 3D mesh is extracted from the signed distance field using **Marching Cubes**. The mesh is rendered to obtain a depth map, which is used to generate **dense depth correspondences**. Next, we match **SIFT features** of the current frame with those of all previous frames. Based on all correspondences, we **optimize the deformation field** such that the resulting model explains the current depth and color observation. Finally, we **integrate** the RGB-D data of the current frame.

DEFORMATION ENERGY

To update the deformation field, **two distinct and complementary types of correspondences** between the current deformed shape and the new color and depth input are searched: for depth-image alignment, we perform a fast data-parallel projective lookup to obtain **dense depth correspondences**. Since in many situations depth features are not sufficient for robust tracking, we also use color information, and extract a **sparse set of robust color feature correspondences**. These also serve as global anchor points, since their descriptors are not modified over time. To reconstruct non-rigid surfaces in real time, we have to update the space deformation at sensor rate.

For simplicity of notation, we stack all unknowns of local deformations in a single vector:

$\mathbf{X} = (\cdots, \mathbf{t}_i^T, \cdots | \cdots, \mathbf{R}_i^T, \cdots)^T$.

PARALLEL ENERGY OPTIMIZATION

The non-linear optimization objective E_{total} can be split into **two independent subproblems** by employing an iterative flip-flop optimization strategy: first, the rotations \mathbf{R}_i are fixed and we optimize for the best positions \mathbf{t}_i . Second, the positions \mathbf{t}_i are considered constant and the rotations \mathbf{R}_i are updated. These two step are iterated until convergence. The two resulting subproblems can both be solved in a highly efficient data-parallel manner:

- 1. We find the **optimal positions** by solving the linear system $(\mathbf{L} + \mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{b}$. Here, \mathbf{L} is the Laplacian matrix, \mathbf{B} encodes the point-point and point-plane constraints. The right-hand side \mathbf{b} encodes the fixed rotations and the target points of the constraints. We solve the linear system of equations using a preconditioned conjugate gradient (PCG) solver. Since the matrix \mathbf{L} is sparse, we compute it on-the-fly in each iteration step. In contrast, $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$ has many non-zero entries. Thus, we pre-compute and cache $\mathbf{B}^T \mathbf{B}$.
- 2. We obtain the **best fitting rotation** based on Procrustes analysis with respect to the canonical pose. With our implementation, we can compute the best rotations for 400K voxels in 1.9ms.

RESULTS



COMPARISON TO STATE-OF-THE-ART

The following figure demonstrates the importance of our sparse color tracker:





To achieve real-time performance, even for high-resolution grids, we cast finding the best parameters as a non-linear variational optimization problem. Based on these definitions, we define the following highly non-linear registration objective:

$$E_{total}(\mathbf{X}) = \underbrace{w_s E_{sparse}(\mathbf{X}) + w_d E_{dense}(\mathbf{X})}_{data \ term} + \underbrace{w_r E_{reg}(\mathbf{X})}_{prior \ term},$$

where

$$E_{dense}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{c=1}^{C} w_c \cdot \left[\left(S(\hat{\mathbf{p}}_c) - \mathbf{p}_c^a \right)^T \cdot \mathbf{n}_c^a \right]^2, \qquad E_{sparse}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{s=1}^{S} \|S(\hat{\mathbf{f}}_s) - \mathbf{f}_s\|_2^2$$
$$E_{reg}(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \left\| (\mathbf{t}_i - \mathbf{t}_j) - \mathbf{R}_i(\hat{\mathbf{t}}_i - \hat{\mathbf{t}}_j) \right\|_2^2.$$

We compare our method to a state-of-the-art **template based approach**. The results are comparable – without the necessity of a pre-scanned template:

